Clubbing Seals: Exploring the Ecosystem of Third-party Security Seals

<u>Tom Van Goethem</u>, Frank Piessens, Wouter Joosen, Nick Nikiforakis





Outline

- Ecosystem entities
- Security evaluation
- Attacks







Security Conscious Alice

Security is OK?







Scans for vulnerabilities in dynamic web applications, such as SQL injection, to verify web sites that safeguard consumer data.

The badge only appears when a website has passed intensive security scans. The scans test sites in the way a hacker would most likely attack, protecting you from data loss or breach of information.

OWASP AppSecEU 15

Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Security Seal Providers

- 10 seal providers evaluated
- Large security companies startups providing security seals
- Yearly cost: \$84 \$2,388 per year
- All offer vulnerability scan
- Half offer malware scan





Security Seal Providers

- Differences in offered security services
 - Server-side file access (FTP)
 - Server-side authentication (login-form)
- Differences in security seal visibility
 - Vulnerability may lead to invisible seal
 - Grace period (0 days 1 week)







Security Conscious Alice

Security is OK?





Security Seal Customers

- Found by crawling Alexa top 1M
 - Security seal images, links
- Google snippets
 - site:scanverify.com/siteverify.php
- 8,302 websites (~74% from Alexa top 1M)
- Mainly e-commerce





Security Evaluation

- Should Alice trust seal providers?
- Security evaluation on various dimensions
 - Comparison to non-sealed websites
 - Manual penetration test
 - Vulnerable webshop experiment





Comparison to non-sealed websites

- Sealed sites interested in security → implement security mechanisms?
- Compare with equivalent websites
 - Same category
 - Similar Alexa ranking (10 ranks above or below)
- Compare presence of security indicators
 - HSTS, Secure/HttpOnly cookies, CSP, XFO, ...





Security Mechanism	Sites w/ Seal (%)	Sites w/o Seal (%)	Significan different (p-value)	tly
HSTS	1.05	1.06	X	(1.00)
Secure Cookies	1.83	0.42	X	(0.06)
SSL Stripping	15.45	15.64	X	(0.99)
X-Frame Options	3.71	5.14	1	(0.02)
HttpOnly Cookies	42.27	29.98	1	(<0.01)
Content-Security-Policy	0.00	0.00	-	(NA)
Anti-CSRF tokens	6.39	11.89	1	(<0.01)
X-Content-Type-Options	0.00	0.00	-	(NA)
iframe sandbox	0.18	0.04	X	(0.37)
		OWASP Amsterdar	AppSecEU 1 n, The Netherlands	



Manual Penetration Test

- Security scan by seal provider → no easily discoverable vulnerabilities?
- Contact 1,000 sealed websites
 - Only 9 agreed to penetration test
- During 8 hours, check for SQL injection, XSS, CSRF, ...
- 7 out of 9 websites vulnerable
 - 6 websites contain easily discoverable vulnerabilities (XSS, textbook SQL injection)



Vulnerable webshop experiment

- Evaluate accuracy of tools used by seal providers
- Setup webshop with severe vulnerabilities
 - Reflect realistic website
 - Outdated PrestaShop
 - Add 12 vulnerabilities spanning various classes
 - XSS, SQL Injection, sensitive files, ...







Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Attacks

- Security seals are part of an attacker's toolset
 - Find vulnerable websites
 - Identify vulnerability
 - Improve phishing campaigns





Attacks Find vulnerable websites



Attacks Identify vulnerabilities



Attacks Improve phishing campaigns

- Include security seal on phishing page
 Hide Referrer header
- Leads to increased credibility on phishing page





Attacks Improve phishing campaigns



Conclusion

- Security seals often used on webshops
- Presence of seal not trustworthy
 - Sealed sites not more secure than non-sealed
 - Vulnerability scanners insufficient
- Various attacks on security seals
 - Sealed sites = valuable target for attackers



