Security DevOps

staying secure in agile projects

Christian Schneider @cschneider4711





www. Christian-Schneider.net

- » Software Developer, Whitehat Hacker & Trainer
- » Freelancer since 1997
- » Focus on JavaEE & Web Security
- » Speaker at Conferences
- » @cschneider4711





Why Security DevOps?

- » Keep up with rollout pace in agile projects
- » Automate certain checks as best as possible within the build-chain
 - » Early feedback to Devs
- » Does not remove the pentest requirement!
 - » Aims to free pentesters' time to hunt more high-hanging bugs





Different levels of "Security DevOps" integration...

- » Security DevOps Maturity Model (SDOMM)
- » Can be seen as some automation tips within OpenSAMM's security practices
 - » Verification: Security Testing
 - » Verification: Code Reviews
- » Allows to define a RoadMap for projects implementing Security DevOps





... what levels will we cover?



implicit four axes each with four master belts as incremental steps









This talk covers two of them



Let's explore these axes ...

» ... by showing how to implement this with OpenSource solutions used in the Security & Development domains.





Axis of "Dynamic Depth"



How deep are dynamic scans executed within a Security DevOps CI chain?

i.e. "where" are dynamic security tests applied?





Axis "Dynamic Depth": Level I

Scanning of **public attack surface** (pre-auth):

- Spidering of UI layer
- No requirement to authenticate scanner with target
- Easy integration of scanner(s) in nightly build as post-step
- "Throw tool at it (in Cl-chain) and see what it generates...





ZAP in SecDevOps?

"OWASP ZAP" features relevant for Security DevOps integration:

- Passive & active scanning
- Headless operation mode / daemon
- REST-API (with several language bindings as pre-built clients)
- Scriptable
- CLI





ZAP + Jenkins = SecDevOps?

"OWASP ZAP" (spider & scanner) + Jenkins plugin "ZAProxy"

- Allows us to "Spider & Scan" as step in build job via Jenkins plugin
- Point plugin config to URL of integration system to test
- Plugin saves HTML-report in project's job for inspection
- Best as separate Jenkins job to run during nightly build (duration)
 - Use different ZAP proxy ports for different builds to allow parallel execution of different project build jobs





D	~	~	٠	C	to	ne
	υ	5	L	5	le	ps

Should the p	ost-build steps run only for successful builds, etc.
Execute ZAProxy	
Admin configuration	
Workspace used	C:\csr\@Security\@Tools\Jenkins\jobs\marathon\workspace
ZAProxy host	localhost (Configured in admin mode)
ZAProxy port	8500 (Configured in admin mode)
Startup	
Start ZAProxy in a pre-build s	tep
Timeout for ZAProxy initialization	¹ 60
	Enter a value in seconde
ZAProxy is installed by Jenkir	ns
Tool to use	ZAProxy_2.4.0
ZAProxy is already installed	
ZAProxy is already installed	

Jenkins Plugin "ZAProxy": ZAP Startup

Setup	
Load session	
Target URL	http://localhost:7070/marathon/
Spider URL	
Scan URL	
	ZAProxy default directory
	Choose policy to use
 Generate report 	
	Choose format report xml html
	Filename for report reportsZAP/report
Save session	
	Filename for session sessionsZAP/session

Jenkins Plugin "ZAProxy": ZAP Scan

Arachni in SecDevOps?

"Arachni Scanner" features relevant for Security DevOps integration:

- Passive & active scanning (Proxy / Spider)
- Uses internally a headless browser-cluster (for apps with lots of JS)
- Automation?
 - CLI + RPC API
- Web-UI (helpful when permanently running as server)





Arachni + Jenkins = SecDevOps?

"Arachni Scanner" + Jenkins CLI step in build

- Start in build job as CLI step and point to URL of system under test
- Generate HTML report and place into workspace for inspection
- Better execute within nightly build job (due to duration)





BDD-Security in SecDevOps?

BDD-based framework for functional and technical security tests:

- Technical security tests (i.e. check against XSS, SQL-Injection, XXE, etc.)
 - uses ZAP as scanning engine (among others)
- Functional security tests (i.e. check UserA can't access data from UserB)
- Tightly integrates with Selenium based app navigation workflows
- Uses JBehave for G/W/T stories & reporting
- Can run within CI (Jenkins, etc.) due to JBehave or as JUnit tests







Scenario: The application should not contain Cross Site Scripting vulnerabilities Meta: @id scan_xss Given a fresh scanner with all policies disabled And the attack strength is set to High And the Cross-Site-Scripting policy is enabled When the scanner is run And false positives described in: tables/false_positives.table are removed Then no Medium or higher risk vulnerabilities should be present

BDD-Security Story: Scan for XSS

Gauntlt in SecDevOps?

BDD-based framework for executing many security tools/scanners:

- Integrates scanners like Arachni, ZAP, sqlmap, etc.
 - Easy to integrate "your custom scanner tool" with Gauntlt as well
- Allows to call different scan polices via BDD-stories (G/W/T)
- Integration with Jenkins (or other build servers) by either
 - Linking Gauntlt's HTML report to build, or by
 - modifying how Gauntlt calls Cucumber to produce JUnit output





Axis "Dynamic Depth": Level 2

Scanning of authenticated parts (= "post-auth") via UI layer

- Properly maintaining sessions
- Logout-detection & automatic re-login
- Different users / roles
- Spider & scan post-auth

Handling of hardening measures of application under test

• CSRF-Tokens, etc.





Guide ZAP into Post-Auth in Cl

Use ZAP manually (1x) to configure "Context": Auth, RegExps for Logged-In/ Out Indicators, Users etc. + save as "ZAP Session-File" (could be in code repo)

• use that "Session-File" from code repo as starting point of scan (loaded as ZAP session during build job). Note: Current version of ZAP has a bugfix pending for loading creds from session file

One can set these auth values and/or additional data via ZAP's REST-API during each build before scan starts (from Jenkins/Maven/...)

• use that to define current active session etc. during scan

Also Scripts in JavaScript or Zest can be registered in ZAP context to programmatically give authentication to ZAP





Session	1: Authentication			
General				
Exclude from proxy	This panel allows you to configure the authentication scheme used for this Context.			
Exclude from scanner	Currently selected authentication method for the Context			
Exclude from spider				
▼ 1:Marathon	Form-based Authentication			
1: Include in context	Configure Authentication Method			
1: Exclude from context				
1: Structure	Login Form Target URL *:			
1: Technology	http://localhost.7070/marathon/secured/j_security_check			
1: Authentication	Login Request POST Data (if any):			
1: Users	j_username={%username%}&j_password={%password%}			
1: Forced User	Username Parameter *: Password Parameter *:			
1: Authorization	Lusername Lpassword			
Monitor Clients	The usemame and password fields will be replaced, during authentication, with the usemame and password corresponding to			
Exclude from WebSockets	application's users.			
	Regex pattern identified in Logged in response messages:			
	logout.do			
	Regex pattern identified in Logged Out response messages:			

Login config example within ZAP



ZAProxy Jenkins Plugin: ZAP session use

Guide Arachni into Post-Auth

Give authentication infos to Arachni (Auth, Logged-In Indicators, Users)

- Use Arachni "autologin" plugin to specify via command line
 - Login URL, formfield names, credentials, logged-in indicator, excludes
- Alternatively write custom ruby script for "login_script" plugin
 - Individual custom login logic possible
 - Logged-In indicators (RegExp) to know when to re-login





Login config example within Arachni (used in CI)

Eventually also --session-check-url & --session-check-pattern

Or individual ruby script if more custom login logic required...





Guide BDD-Security into Post-Auth

Use Selenium to navigate through the login process

- Based on excellent integration of BDD-Security with Selenium
- Separate app navigation code (Selenium) from Security testing code
- Use Selenium class (that handles login) within BDD stories
- Perform further spidering & active scanning (through ZAP) post-auth

OWASP AnnSec



public class ShopApplicationScanHelper extends WebApplication implements ILogin {

// ... integrates with BDD-Security via parent class & interface ...

public class ShopApplicationScanHelper extends WebApplication implements ILogin {

@Override
public void openLoginPage() {

}

@Override
public void login(Credentials credentials) {

}

}

@Override
public boolean isLoggedIn(String role) {

public class ShopApplicationScanHelper extends WebApplication implements ILogin {

```
@Override
public void openLoginPage() {
    driver.get(Config.getInstance().getBaseUrl() + "customer/login");
    verifyTextPresent("Login");
}
```

```
@Override
```

```
public void login(Credentials credentials) {
   UserPassCredentials creds = new UserPassCredentials(credentials);
   driver.findElement(By.id("username")).clear();
   driver.findElement(By.id("username")).sendKeys(creds.getUsername());
   driver.findElement(By.id("password")).clear();
   driver.findElement(By.id("password")).sendKeys(creds.getPassword());
   driver.findElement(By.name("_action_login")).click();
}
```

```
@Override
```

```
public boolean isLoggedIn(String role) {
```

```
if (driver.getPageSource().contains("My Account")) {
    return true;
```

```
} else {
```

```
return false;
```

```
}
```

Axis "Dynamic Depth": Level 3

Separate scanning of different application layers / backends

- Scan internal WebServices (e.g. SOAP / REST) = directly scan backends
- Detect and scan parameter positions within XML, JSON, ...
- Scan from "within" the different application's layers
 - IAST with distributed agents & instrumentation aims into that direction
- At least one simple step in that direction:
 - Use the proxy also between your backend service calls







Backend scans with ZAP

How to achieve this with ZAP?

- ZAP operates as proxy server: place it **between** backend calls
- ZAP can inject payloads in observed XML tags/attributes & JSON fields
- Capture service call traffic in integration test during CI while either
 - A. executing service tests that directly access the service endpoint, or
 - B. frontend UI tests execute service backend calls indirectly
- Automatically scan as new requests are seen: "ATTACK Mode"

Also keep an eye on an alpha-level SOAP-Scanner ZAP addon



Backend scans with Arachni

How to achieve this with Arachni?

- Arachni can also operate as proxy: place it **between** backend calls
- Use passive proxy plugin to "train" Arachni of the XML / JSON requests
 - New addition in v1.1 to extract XML / JSON input vectors from it
- Use that collected input vector data to feed the active scan for the observed requests





Axis "Dynamic Depth": Level 4

Targeted scanning of individual forms / wizards (UI) and service layers

- More individualised workflow coverage (not just simple spidering)
- Business-logic compliant usage patterns & inputs
 - "fill shopping cart followed by checkout process"
 - "access backend WebServices in special order to test workflow", etc.
- Custom coded security tests tailored to the application





ZAP with special workflows (1/3)

Many ways exist...

The simplest one could be: Re-use existing UI tests (Selenium, ...)

- Proxy this traffic through ZAP in "ATTACK-Mode" (in security test phase of build)
- Optionally use ZAP Attack-Policies to specify/limit certain attack types



ZAP with special workflows (2/3)

A more customised handling of individual workflows can be achieved:

Re-use & enhance existing "UI test code" at the desired workflow steps with calls to ZAP's (REST)-API ordering attacks

- Basically it's like Unit-Test code that uses Selenium along with with ZAP-Calls at the proper positions in application workflow
- Type of "ordered attacks" can again be defined via policies
- Start ZAP as Daemon from Jenkins via plugin





```
@Before
public void setup() {
```

}

@Test
public void testShippingAddressStep() {

```
}
```

}

}

@Test
public void testBillingAddressStep() {

```
@Before
public void setup() {
    // 1. start new proxy session in running ZAP (via REST-API call)
    // 2. create Selenium driver (proxying through running ZAP)
}
```

@Test
public void testShippingAddressStep() {

```
}
```

}

}

@Test
public void testBillingAddressStep() {

```
@Before
public void setup() {
    // 1. start new proxy session in running ZAP (via REST-API call)
    // 2. create Selenium driver (proxying through running ZAP)
}
@Test
public void testShippingAddressStep() {
    // 1. use Selenium to fill shopping cart
    // 2. use Selenium to proceed to checkout
    // 3. use Selenium to provide reasonable shipping address data
```

@Test

}

}

}

public void testBillingAddressStep() {

```
@Before
public void setup() {
  // 1. start new proxy session in running ZAP (via REST-API call)
  // 2. create Selenium driver (proxying through running ZAP)
}
@Test
public void testShippingAddressStep() {
   // 1. use Selenium to fill shopping cart
   // 2. use Selenium to proceed to checkout
  // 3. use Selenium to provide reasonable shipping address data
  // 4. set attack policy (types & strength) in running ZAP (API)
  /* 5. call ZAP (API) to actively scan the last seen URL
         (optionally define parameter excludes via API
          or ZAP "input vector scripts" if custom input format) */
```

@Test public void testBillingAddressStep() {

}

}

```
@Before
public void setup() {
    // 1. start new proxy session in running ZAP (via REST-API call)
    // 2. create Selenium driver (proxying through running ZAP)
}
@Test
public void testShippingAddressStep() {
```

// 1. use Selenium to fill shopping cart

- // 2. use Selenium to proceed to checkout
- // 3. use Selenium to provide reasonable shipping address data
- // 4. set attack policy (types & strength) in running ZAP (API)
- /* 5. call ZAP (API) to actively scan the last seen URL
 (optionally define parameter excludes via API
 or ZAP "input vector scripts" if custom input format) */

```
@Test
public void testBillingAddressStep() {
```

}

}

}

```
// same idea as above ... just continue with the pattern
```

See https://github.com/continuumsecurity/zap-webdriver for a great working example of Selenium ZAP integration

ZAP with special workflows (3/3)

Alternatively "train" ZAP about the workflow by recording Zest scripts

- Keep an eye on "Sequence Scanning" alpha-level ZAP addon
 - Still alpha-level (as of May 2015), but interesting approach





BDD with special workflows

Use Selenium to further drive BDD-Security initiated checks:

- Selenium-based test code navigates application workflows
- This code is integrated with BDD (via Java interfaces), so that:
 - BDD-Security stories can use that code to navigate and generate traffic
 - This generated traffic will be scanned by ZAP via BDD





If no Selenium test code exists?

Simply give developer teams access to ZAP to (at least) pre-seed the scanner:

- Developer teams use browser to navigate app workflows while proxying
 - Thereby seed the ZAP session(s) with navigation nodes/workflows
 - Save the ZAP session(s) and check-in into SCM (Git, SVN, ...)
- Point the Jenkins ZAP plugin to the saved ZAP session(s) as starting point
- Devs can add to this list of URLs for ZAP with each new UI

BTW: ZAP is also available as Docker image...





How deep is static code analysis performed within a Security DevOps CI chain?

i.e. "where" are static security tests applied?







How intense are the majority of the executed attacks within a Security DevOps CI chain?

i.e. "what" is being checked for?



Axis of "Consolidation"



How complete is the process of handling findings within a Security DevOps CI chain?

i.e. "how" are the results used?



Axis "Consolidation": Level 1

Generate human-readable (HTML) reports from tools and link them in Jenkins

- All relevant mentioned static and dynamic scanners generate HTML reports
- Collect and publish them in Jenkins build: via Jenkins "HTML Publisher Plugin"

Use simple criteria to "break the build" on heavy findings (ok, at least "unstable")

- Dependency-Check, BDD-Security (with the JBehave-stories), FindSecurityBugs (via Sonar when rated as blocker), Arachni (via Gauntlt execution with BDDlike stories), etc. all have capabilities to automatically flag the build
- For others: at least do a simple log parse from Jenkins
 "Log Parser Plugin" to flag the build as unstable and/or broken





Post-build Actions				
HTML directory to archive	Index page[s]	Report title	к	eep past HTML reports
reportsZAP/	report.html	ZAP Report		
Add				

Jenkins "HTML Publisher Plugin":

Configuration of HTML reports to link

🕑 Je	enkins				
Jenkins -	→ marathon →				
摿 Back t	to Dashboard				
🔍 Statu	s				
📄 Chang	jes				
🔚 Works	Workspace				
🔊 Build I	Suild Now				
🚫 Delete Maven project					
💥 Config	jure				
Modul	les				
Tap F	Report				
🔅 Buil	d History	trend =			
#10	May 13, 2015 2:19 PM				
<u>#9</u>	May 13, 2015 2:00 PM				
#8	May 13, 2015 1:53 PM				
#7	May 13, 2015 1:49 PM				
<u>) #6</u>	May 13, 2015 1:48 PM				

Jenkins "HTML

Publisher Plugin":

Result in build

Axis "Consolidation": Level 2

Custom logic to make build unstable and/or broken depending on

- Type of vulnerability (CWE or WASC or ...)
- Confidence level (firm vs. tentative)
- Severity ranking (high risk)

Provide useful remediation info to developers

Respect suppression mechanisms to rule out false positives





Flagging builds from reports

How (from within a CI job)?

- Most scanners also emit XML reports that can be parsed
 - Often a simple XPath count is just fine
- Alternatively fetch the results by accessing the scanner's API

OWASP AnnSec

 Be sure to <u>only</u> break build with (new?) findings of <u>high severity and high confidence</u> !!!

• Less is more (when it comes to automation)...



Axis "Consolidation": Level 3

Consolidation goals:

- Consolidate & de-duplicate findings from different scanner reports (with better false positive handling)
- Push consolidated findings into established bug-tracker (known to devs)
- Delta analysis & trends over consolidated data sets







ThreadFix as result consolidator

Use a local ThreadFix server, which imports native scanner outputs

- does the heavy lifting of consolidation & de-duplication
- pushes findings toward bug-tracker and IDE (via plugins)
- process can be customised using it's own REST-API
- ThreadFix imports findings of ZAP, Arachni, FindBugs, Brakeman, etc.







Axis "Consolidation": Level 4

Measure the concrete code coverage of your security testing activities

- Find untested "white spots"
- Derive where static checks and code reviews should focus more to compensate





Code coverage analysis

Use "OWASP Code Pulse", which instruments your Java app via agent

- collects coverage data during dynamic security testing scans
- generates reports ("code treemaps") of coverage







Code Treemap of dynamic scan coverage



Thank you very much!



Links

OWASP ZAP ZAP Selenium Demo ZAP Jenkins Plugin BDD-Security Arachni **OWASP Dependency Check OWASP Dependency Track FindSecurityBugs** FindSecurityBugs-Cloud retire.js **ScanJS Jenkins Log Parser Plugin ThreadFix OWASP Code Pulse** Seccubus vulndb fuzzdb radamsa

https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP_Zed_Attack_Proxy_Project https://github.com/continuumsecurity/zap-webdriver https://wiki.jenkins-ci.org/display/JENKINS/ZAProxy+Plugin http://www.continuumsecurity.net/bdd-intro.html http://www.arachni-scanner.com https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP_Dependency_Check https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP_Dependency_Track_Project http://h3xstream.github.io/find-sec-bugs/ https://code.google.com/p/findbugs/wiki/FindBugsCloudTutorial http://bekk.github.io/retire.js/ https://github.com/mozilla/scanjs https://wiki.jenkins-ci.org/display/JENKINS/Log+Parser+Plugin http://www.threadfix.org https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP_Code_Pulse_Project https://www.seccubus.com https://github.com/vulndb/data https://code.google.com/p/fuzzdb/ https://code.google.com/p/ouspg/wiki/Radamsa

Interested in more web security stuff? Visit my Blog: <u>www.Christian-Schneider.net</u>

@cschneider4711